The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte WILLIAM J. McBRIDE and GARY L. GRIFFITHS

Application No. 09/676,783

MAILED

FEB 1 6 2005

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 22, 2004. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

On May 10, 2004, Appellants filed a Request for Oral Hearing along with a Reply Brief. This submission needs to be rescanned in the electronic file as follows:

- 1. Pages 1 and 2 need to be rescanned as a separate paper Request for Oral Hearing (APOH) dated 5/10/4, and
- 2. pages 3-8 need to be rescanned as Reply Brief filed (APRB) dated 5/10/4.

Additionally, there is no indication on the record that this paper was considered by the examiner.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the instant application be electronically returned to the examiner to:

- (1) have the Request for Oral Hearing and Reply Brief scanned as separate papers;
- (2) consider the Reply Brief;
- (3) written notification to appellants of said consideration; and
- (4) for such action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Dale M. Shaw

Program and Resource Administrator

(571) 272-9797

Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, LLP 1666 K Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006

dem RA05-0196